STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN SUPREME COURT

No. C4-84-2133

Order Promulgating Amendments to Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure has recommended certain amendments to the Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure; and

WHEREAS, on April 21, 1998, the Supreme Court held a hearing on the proposed amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court has reviewed the proposals and is advised in the premises; NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The attached amendments to the Rules of Civil Appellate procedure be, and the same hereby are, prescribed and promulgated to be effective on January 1, 1999.
- 2. The Effective Date of these amendments is January 1, 1999. These amendments shall apply to all actions or proceedings pending on or commenced on or after the Effective Date. As to matters pending on the Effective Date of these rules, the following special rules apply:
 - a. The time to appeal from appealable orders filed before the Effective Date shall be governed by Rule 104, as amended.
 - b. As to appeals from judgments entered before the Effective Date of these rules, appeals may be taken within the time permitted by either the old or new version of Rule 104.
 - c. For appeals of orders filed, or judgments entered, before the Effective Date of these rules and governed by former Rule 104.04 an appeal may be taken within the time permitted by either the old or new version of the rules, including consideration of any tolling effect of former Rule 104.04.
 - d. As to all matters where post-trial motions as defined in new Rule 104.01, subd. 2, are served and filed but not decided as of the Effective Date, the time to appeal shall be governed by that rule.

3. The inclusion of Advisory Committee comments is made for convenience and does not reflect court approval of the comments made therein.

DATED: July **7**, 1998

BY THE COURT:

OFFICE OF APPELLATE COURTS

JUL 7 - 1998

FILED

Kathleen A. Blatz Chief Justice

Amendments to the Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure

RULE 103. APPEAL—HOW TAKEN

* * *

RULE 103.01 MANNER OF MAKING APPEAL

- **Subd. 1. Notice of Appeal and Filings.** An appeal shall be made by filing a notice of appeal with the clerk of the appellate courts and serving the notice on the adverse party or parties within the appeal period. The notice shall contain:
 - (a) a statement specifying the judgment or order from which the appeal is taken; and
- (b) the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of opposing counsel, indicating the parties they represent.

The notice shall be accompanied by:

- (a) (c) proof of service on the adverse party or parties; and
- (b) (d) proof of service filing on with the trial court administrator of the trial court in which the judgment or order appealed from is entered or filed.
- (c) a statement specifying and describing the judgment or order from which the appeal is taken; and
- (d) the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of opposing counsel and the parties they represent.

The appellant shall <u>simultaneously</u> file the following with the clerk of the appellate courts:

- (1) <u>two copies of</u> the notice of appeal,
- (2) a certified copy of the judgment or order from which the appeal is taken,
- (3) two copies of the statement of the case required by Rule 133.03, and
- (4) a filing fee of $$250_{\overline{5}}$.

and The appellant shall file the following simultaneously with the trial court administrator:

- (5) (1) a copy of the notice of appeal, and
- (6) (2) the cost bond required by Rule 107, or written waiver of it., and
- (7) the supersedeas bond, if any, required by Rule 108.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The additional language in the first paragraph of the rule is intended to clarify the steps that must be taken to invoke appellate jurisdiction. Timely filing the notice of appeal with the clerk of the appellate courts and timely service on the adverse party are the jurisdictional steps required to initiate an appeal. Failure of an appellant to take any step other than the timely filing and service of the notice of appeal does not affect appellate jurisdiction, but is ground only for such action as the appellate court deems appropriate, which may include dismissal of the appeal. The reference to supersedeas bonds previously contained in the rule has been deleted, in light of the concurrent revisions made to Rule 108, which clarify the timing and procedure regarding filing supersedeas bonds.

* * *

RULE 103.03 APPEALABLE JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS

An appeal may be taken to the Court of Appeals:

- (a) from a <u>final</u> judgment entered in the trial court, or from a partial judgment entered pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 54.02;
- (b) from an order which grants, refuses, dissolves or refuses to dissolve, an injunction;
- (c) from an order vacating or sustaining an attachment;
- (d) from an order denying a new trial, or from an order granting a new trial if the trial court expressly states therein, or in a memorandum attached thereto, that the order is based exclusively upon errors of law occurring at the trial, and upon no other ground; and the trial court shall specify such errors in its order or memorandum, but upon appeal, such order granting a new trial may be sustained for errors of law prejudicial to respondent other than those specified by the trial court;
- (e) from an order which, in effect, determines the action and prevents a judgment from which an appeal might be taken;
- (f) from a final order or judgment made or rendered in proceedings supplementary to execution;
- (g) except as otherwise provided by statute, from a final order, decision or judgment affecting a substantial right made in an administrative or other special proceeding, provided that the appeal must be taken within the time limited for appeal from an order; and
- (h) if the trial court certifies that the question presented is important and doubtful, from an order which denies a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted or from an order which denies a motion for summary judgment; and
- (i) from such other orders or decisions as may be appealable by statute or under the decisions of the Minnesota appellate courts.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

While Rule 103.03 contains a nearly exhaustive list of appealable orders and judgments, it is not the exclusive basis for appellate jurisdiction. *See In re State & Regents Bldg. Asbestos Cases*, 435 N.W.2d 521 (Minn. 1989); *Anderson v. City of Hopkins*, 393 N.W.2d 363 (Minn. 1986). In these and other cases, the Minnesota Supreme Court has recognized that there are certain instances in which an appeal may be allowed as a matter of right even though the ground for that appeal is not found expressly in the provisions of Rule 103.03. Such instances include:

Orders granting or denying motions to dismiss or for summary judgment when the motions are based on the trial court's alleged lack of personal or subject matter jurisdiction, regardless of whether the motion seeks dismissal of the entire action. See McGowan v. Our Savior's Lutheran Church, 527 N.W.2d 830, 833 (Minn. 1995) (order denying summary judgment is appealable when motion is based on district court's lack of subject matter jurisdiction); Hunt v. Nevada State Bank, 285 Minn. 77, 88-89, 172 N.W.2d 292, 298 (1969) (order denying motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction immediately appealable of right).

Orders denying motions to dismiss or for summary judgment based on governmental immunity from suit, provided that the denial is not based on the

existence of a question of fact. See Anderson, 393 N.W.2d at 364 (order denying defendant's motion for summary judgment is appealable when motion is based on governmental immunity from suit); Carter v. Cole, 526 N.W.2d 209 (Minn. App. 1995), aff'd, 539 N.W.2d 241 (Minn. 1995) (affirming dismissal of appeal from order denying government official's motion for summary judgment based solely on the finding that there is a genuine issue of material fact whether the official committed the acts alleged; reserving question of appealability of an order denying summary judgment where the genuine issues of material fact identified by the trial court are related to the issue of immunity, and not to the merits of the claim); see also Johnson v. Jones, 515 U.S. 304, 115 S.Ct. 2151, 132 L.Ed.2d 238 (1995) (order denying summary judgment on immunity grounds not appealable where motion is denied because of genuine issue of material fact).

Orders vacating final orders or judgments, when the orders are issued after the time to appeal the underlying orders or judgments has expired, or from orders refusing to vacate default judgments. See State & Regents, 435 N.W.2d at 522 (order vacating final judgment is appealable); Spicer v. Carefree Vacations, Inc., 370 N.W.2d 424 (Minn. 1985) (denial of a Rule 60 motion is appealable if the judgment is rendered ex parte against a party who has made no appearance). But see Carlson v. Panuska, 555 N.W.2d 745 (Minn. 1996) (Spicer exception applies only to true default judgments and not to "default" judgments entered after contested hearings for failure to comply with discovery orders).

In addition, certain statutes provide for appeals as a matter of right, even though Rule 103.03 does not expressly so provide. *See, e.g.*, MINN. STAT. § 572.26, subd. 1 (listing appealable orders in arbitration proceedings, which are not "special" proceedings under Rule 103.03), *Pulju v. Metropolitan Property & Cas.*, 535 N.W.2d 608 (Minn. 1995).

These examples are not intended to be exhaustive, but rather to emphasize that there are limited grounds for appeal other than those set forth in Rule 103.03. *See generally* Scott W. Johnson, *Common Law Appellate Jurisdiction*, BENCH & BAR OF MINN., Sept. 1997, at 31.

* * *

RULE 103.04 SCOPE OF REVIEW

The appellate courts may reverse, affirm or modify the judgment or order appealed from or take any other action as the interest of justice may require.

On appeal from or review of an order the appellate courts may review any order affecting the order from which the appeal is taken and on appeal from a judgment may review any order involving the merits or affecting the judgment. They may review any other matter as the interest of justice may require. The scope of review afforded may be affected by whether proper steps have been taken to preserve issues for review on appeal, including the existence of timely and proper post-trial motions.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The rule has been changed to make clear that the scope of review can and often does depend upon the scope of the trial proceedings. As a general proposition, appellate review is limited to review of the facts and legal arguments that are contained in the trial record. The conduct of the trial proceedings will affect the scope of review on appeal. See Sauter v. Wasemiller, 389 N.W.2d 200 (Minn. 1986); Northwestern State Bank v. Foss, 287 Minn. 508, 511, 177 N.W.2d 292, 294 (1970). This is true notwithstanding the broad statement of the appellate courts' scope of review contained in Rule 103.04. See MINN. CONST. art. 6, § 2.

Litigants often fail to recognize the importance of post-trial motions, and the sometimes dramatic consequences of the failure to bring them. Though commentators have alerted lawyers to this issue, see 3 ERIC J. MAGNUSON & DAVID F. HERR, MINNESOTA PRACTICE: APPELLATE RULES ANNOTATED § 103.17 (3d ed. 1996), problems associated with failure to file appropriate post-trial motions continues to be a significant, recurring problem. This rule amendment is intended to ameliorate the problem.

RULE 104. TIME FOR FILING AND SERVICE OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

RULE 104.01 TIME FOR FILING AND SERVICE

<u>Subd. 1. Time for Appeal.</u> <u>Unless a different time is provided by statute</u>, an appeal may be taken from a judgment within 90 60 days after its entry, and from an <u>appealable</u> order within 30 days after service by <u>any the adverse</u> party of written notice of <u>its</u> filing <u>unless a different time is provided by law.</u>

An appeal may be taken from a judgment entered pursuant to Rule 54.02, Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, within 90 60 days of the entry of the judgment only if the trial court makes an express determination that there is no just reason for delay and expressly directs the entry of a final judgment. The time to appeal from any other judgment entered pursuant to Rule 54.02 shall not begin to run until the entry of a judgment which adjudicates all the claims and rights and liabilities of the remaining parties.

Subd. 2. Effect of Post-Decision Motions. Unless otherwise provided by law, if any party serves and files a proper and timely motion of a type specified immediately below, the time for appeal of the order or judgment that is the subject of such motion runs for all parties from the service by any party of notice of filing of the order disposing of the last such motion outstanding. This provision applies to a proper and timely motion:

- (a) for judgment notwithstanding the verdict under Minn. R. Civ. P. 50.02;
- (b) to amend or make findings of fact under Minn. R. Civ. P. 52.02, whether or not granting the motion would alter the judgment;
- (c) to alter or amend the judgment under Minn. R. Civ. P. 52.02;
- (d) for a new trial under Minn. R. Civ. P. 59;
- (e) <u>for relief under Minn. R. Civ. P. 60 if the motion is filed within the time for a</u> motion for new trial; or
- (f) in proceedings not governed by the Rules of Civil Procedure, a proper and timely motion that seeks the same or equivalent relief as those motions listed in (a)-(e).

Subd. 3. Premature Appeal. A notice of appeal filed before the disposition of any of the above motions is premature and of no effect, and does not divest the trial court of jurisdiction to dispose of the motion. A new notice of appeal must be filed within the time prescribed to appeal the underlying order or judgment, measured from the service of notice of filing of the order disposing of the outstanding motion. If a party has already paid a filing fee in connection with a premature appeal, no additional fee shall be required from that party for the filing of a new notice of appeal or notice of review pursuant to Rule 106.

RULE 104.02 EFFECT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND INSERTION OF COSTS INTO THE JUDGMENT

No order made prior to the entry of judgment shall be appealable after the expiration of time to appeal from the judgment. Time to appeal from the judgment pursuant to this section shall not be extended by the subsequent insertion therein of the costs and disbursements. of the prevailing party.

RULE 104.03 SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS

Except as otherwise provided by statute, an appeal from the final order or judgment affecting a substantial right made in an administrative or other special proceeding must be taken within the time limited for appeal from an order.

RULE 104.04 MARITAL DISSOLUTION

Subd. 1. An appeal may be taken from a judgment and decree of dissolution within 90 days after its entry, from an amended judgment and decree of dissolution within 30 days after service by the adverse party of written notice of entry, and from an order within 30 days after service by the adverse party of written notice of filing unless a different time is provided by law.

Subd. 2. The time for appeal shall run from service by the adverse party of written notice of filing of an order denying a new trial or granting or denying any of the following motions:

- (a) to amend or make additional findings of fact, whether or not an alteration of the judgment would be required if the motion is granted;
 - (b) to alter or amend the judgment;
 - (c) for modification of an order with respect to custody or visitation; or
 - (d) for modification of child support or of maintenance.

A notice of appeal filed during the pendency of any of the above motions shall be premature and shall not divest the district court of jurisdiction to dispose of the motion. A new notice of appeal must be filed within the prescribed time measured from service by the adverse party of written notice of filing of the order disposing of the motion as provided above and no additional fee shall be required for such filing. In the event a respondent is a party aggrieved by the order disposing of the motion, a notice of review pursuant to Rule 106 may be filed within the prescribed time measured from the filing of the new notice of appeal. If a notice of review has been filed, the appeal shall not be dismissed without the approval of the appellate court.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The 1998 amendments to this rule will significantly affect appellate practice. The rule is intended to simplify practice by establishing a 60-day period to effect appeals from both final judgments and appealable orders. This 60-day period will not necessarily result in an identical period to appeal from both an order and judgment, as the event that begins the running of the respective 60-day appeal periods usually will differ. However, the amendment will result in less confusion regarding the time period for appeal.

Subdivision 2 is new and enumerates the post-trial motions that will toll the running of the time to appeal. The rule serves two equally important purposes: to make it clear that an appeal is not necessary until the proper motion is decided, and to avoid a party's erroneous assumption that an improper or unauthorized motion

would prevent the running of an appeal deadline. The list is intended to be exhaustive for civil actions in the district courts. Rule 104.01, subd. 2(f), provides that the procedural counterparts of these motions will also prevent the running of the time to appeal until the motion is decided. The motions enumerated in this subdivision exclude "motions for reconsideration" because these motions are never required by the rules and are considered only if the trial court permits the motion to be filed. *See* MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 115.11, amended in 1997, effective Jan. 1, 1998.

Counsel must carefully determine whether post-trial motions are authorized in certain proceedings. *See Schiltz v. City of Duluth*, 449 N.W.2d 439 (Minn. 1990) (in special proceedings there must be statutory authority for new trial motions, and in the absence of such a provision, a "new trial" motion, even if considered by the trial court on the merits and denied, may not result in an appealable order) and *Steeves v. Campbell*, 508 N.W.2d 817 (Minn. App. 1993) (new trial motion in order for protection proceedings not authorized, and order denying such motion is not appealable). Subdivision 2 of Rule 104.01 replaces Rule 104.04 concerning post-trial and modification motions in marital dissolutions. Modification motions no longer extend the time in which to appeal. The affect of post-trial motions is clarified in subdivisions 2 and 3.

RULE 105. DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

* * *

RULE 105.02 CONTENT OF PETITION; RESPONSE

** * *

A copy of the order from which the appeal is sought and any findings of fact, conclusions of law, and or memorandum of law relating to it shall be attached to each the petition. Any adverse party may, within 7 five days after service of the petition, serve and file with the clerk of the appellate courts four copies of a response to the petition. Any reply shall be served within two days after service of the response. All papers may be typewritten.

The petition and any response shall be submitted without oral argument unless otherwise ordered.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The rule has been amended to change the responsive time from seven to five days to be consistent with the time to file a response to a petition for an extraordinary writ and to a motion. *See* MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 120.02, 127. The two-day period to file a reply is added to be consistent with the provision for a reply in the rule on motions. *See* MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 127. Because intervening weekends and holidays are not counted when the time for response is less than 7 days, the change will not shorten the time for response, and may actually lengthen it in some cases. *See* MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 126.01.

RULE 106. RESPONDENT'S RIGHT TO OBTAIN REVIEW

A respondent may obtain review of a judgment or order entered in the same action which may adversely affect him respondent by filing a notice of review with the clerk of the appellate courts. The notice of review shall specify the judgment or order to be reviewed, shall be served and filed within 15 days after service of the notice of appeal, and shall contain proof of service. A filing fee of \$100 shall accompany the notice of review.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

This rule is amended to delete gender-specific language. This amendment is not intended to affect the interpretation and meaning of the rule.

RULE 107. BOND OR DEPOSIT FOR COSTS

* * * *

Subd. 2. When Bond Not Required. No cost bond is required:

* * *

- (f) the appeal has been remanded to the trial court or agency for further proceedings and, upon completion of those proceedings, the appeal is renewed; or
- (gf) when the appellant is a party to a public assistance appeal pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 256-; or
- (hg) when the appellant is an unemployment compensation reemployment insurance benefits claimant pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 268.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

Under this rule as revised, the cost bond requirement is not automatically waived when an appeal is filed after a remand. Unless the cost bond from the first appeal remains on deposit, the respondent in the second appeal still needs the protection of a cost bond. Changes in (h) reflect the current terminology.

RULE 108. SUPERSEDEAS BOND; STAYS

RULE 108.01 SUPERSEDEAS BOND

Subd. 1. Effect of Appeal; Stay. Except in appeals under Rule 103.03(b), or as otherwise provided by law, the filing of a proper and timely appeal suspends the authority of the trial court to make any order necessarily affecting the order or judgment appealed from. The trial court retains jurisdiction as to matters independent of, supplemental to, or collateral to the order or judgment appealed from, and to enforce its order or judgment.

<u>Unless otherwise provided by law, An a proper and timely appeal from does not stay</u> an order or judgment shall stay or enforcement proceedings in the trial court and save all rights affected by it only if, but the appellant may obtain a stay by providesing a supersedeas bond or other security in the amount and form which the trial court shall order and approve, in the cases provided in this rule, or as otherwise provided by rule or statute.

An application to approve a supersedeas bond, or for a stay on other terms, shall be made in the first instance to the trial court. Upon motion, the appellate court may review the trial court's determination as to whether a stay is appropriate and the terms of any stay.

A supersedeas bond, whether approved by the trial court or appellate court, shall be filed in the trial court.

- **Subd. 2.** If the appeal is from an order, the condition of the bond shall be the payment of the costs of the appeal, the damages sustained by the respondent in consequence of the appeal, and the obedience to and satisfaction of the order or judgment which the appellate court may give if the order or any part of it is affirmed or if the appeal is dismissed.
- **Subd. 3.** If the appeal is from a judgment directing the payment of money, the condition of the bond shall be the payment of the judgment or that part of the judgment which is affirmed and all damages awarded against the appellant upon the appeal if the judgment or any part of it is affirmed or if the appeal is dismissed.
- **Subd. 4.** If the appeal is from a judgment directing the assignment or delivery of documents or personal property, the condition of the bond shall be the obedience to the order or judgment of the appellate court. No bond pursuant to this subdivision is required if the appellant places the document or personal property in the custody of the officer or receiver whom the trial court may appoint.
- **Subd. 5.** If the appeal is from a judgment directing the sale or delivery of possession of real property, the condition of the bond shall be the payment of the value of the use and occupation of the property from the time of the appeal until the delivery of possession of the property if the judgment is affirmed and the undertaking that the appellant shall not commit or suffer the commission of any waste on the property while it remains in the appellant's possession during the pendency of the appeal.
- **Subd. 6.** In appeals brought pursuant to Rule 115, the trial court may upon motion grant a stay of the order, judgment or enforcement proceedings upon such terms as to bond or otherwise as it considers proper for the security of the rights of the adverse party.
- **Subd. 67.** In cases not specified in subdivisions 2 to $\underline{56}$, filing the bond specified in Rule 107 shall stay proceedings in the trial court.
- **Subd.** 78. Upon motion, the trial court may require the appellant to file a supersedeas bond if it determines that the provisions of Rule 108 do not provide adequate security to the respondent.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The 1998 revisions to Rule 108 make explicit a number of principles regarding appellate jurisprudence previously found in case law. First, the mere filing of an appeal does not, except where provided by statute, rule, or case law, stay proceedings in the trial court to enforce the judgment or order which has been appealed. Second, while an appeal may (with some exceptions) suspend the authority of the trial court to modify the order or judgment appealed from, the suspension of the trial court's jurisdiction is not all-encompassing. Generally, the trial court retains authority to enforce the judgment, and to consider and rule on matters that are supplemental or collateral to the judgment. If there is uncertainty about the scope of the trial court's ongoing jurisdiction, a motion to resolve the question may be directed to the appellate court.

The posting of a supersedeas bond or a request for stay on other grounds is not required for an appeal to be perfected or proceed. However, because the order or judgment that is the subject of the appeal is not generally stayed automatically, a matter may, in some circumstances, become moot while the appeal is pending. Under prior practice, stays in appellate proceedings relating to administrative agency decisions were obtained under MINN. STAT. § 14.65 (1996).

The revisions also set out more clearly the procedure for obtaining a stay. Application for the stay is made in the first instance to the trial court, and not the appellate court. The bond, whether approved by the trial court, or upon review by the appellate court, is still filed in the trial court, and the rule now so specifies.

RULE 110. THE RECORD ON APPEAL

* * *

RULE 110.02 THE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS; DUTY OF APPELLANT TO ORDER; NOTICE TO RESPONDENT IF PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT IS ORDERED; DUTY OF REPORTER; FORM OF TRANSCRIPT

* * *

Subd. 2. Transcript Certificates.

- (a) If any part of the proceedings is to be transcribed by a court reporter, a certificate as to transcript signed by the designating counsel and by the court reporter shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts, with a copy to the trial court and all counsel of record within 10 days of the date the transcript was ordered. The certificate shall contain the date on which the transcript was requested; the estimated number of pages; the estimated completion date not to exceed 60 days; and a statement that satisfactory financial arrangements have been made for the transcription; and the court reporter's address and telephone number.
- (b) Upon delivery filing of the transcript with the trial court administrator and delivery to the appellant counsel of record, the reporter shall file with the clerk of the appellate courts a certificate evidencing the date of filing and delivery. The certificate shall identify the transcript(s) delivered; specify the dates of filing of the transcript with the trial court administrator and delivery to counsel; and shall indicate the method of delivery. The certificate shall also contain the court reporter's address and telephone number.

* * *

Subd. 4. Transcript Requirements. The transcript shall be typewritten on 8 ½ by 11 inch or 8 ½ by 10 ½ inch unglazed opaque paper with double spacing between each line of text, shall be bound at the left-hand margin, and shall contain a table of contents. To the extent possible, the transcript of a trial or other single court proceeding shall be consecutively paginated, regardless of the number of volumes. The name of each witness shall appear at the top of each page containing that person's testimony. A question and its answer may be contained in a single paragraph. The original and final copy of the transcript shall be filed with the trial court administrator and a copy shall be transmitted promptly to the attorney for each party to the appeal separately represented. All copies must be legible. The reporter shall certify the correctness of the transcript.

The transcript should include transcription of any testimony given by audiotape, videotape, or other electronic means unless that testimony has previously been transcribed, in which case the transcript shall include the existing transcript of testimony, with appropriate annotations and verification of what portions were replayed at trial, as part of the official trial transcript.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

Subdivision 2 is divided into two sections to emphasize that the court reporter has to file both a

transcript certificate and a certificate of filing and delivery, each with different requirements. Court reporters sometimes do not include their telephone number on the certificates, which makes it difficult for the clerk's office to contact them if there is a problem with the certificate. The proposed amendment includes the reporter's telephone number as one of the pieces of information that must be included on the certificate.

Currently, the delivery certificates filed by most reporters only specify the date that the transcript was filed with the trial court administrator, together with a general statement that the transcript was "transmitted promptly" to counsel. The clerk's office uses the filing date as the delivery date for the purpose of calculating the briefing period, which may not be accurate if the reporter does not deliver the transcript on the same day filed. In addition, the certificates usually do not indicate the method of delivery. This makes a difference for calculation of the briefing period, because if the transcript is delivered by mail, three days are added to the briefing period. See MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 125.03. The amended rule introduces the certificate of filing and delivery, which must specify the dates the transcript was filed with the court administrator and delivered to counsel. This certificate may show delivery by hand, by courier, or may show mailing. The court reporter and counsel should insure that the certificate accurately reflects the date and method of delivery of the transcript, because those factors determine the due date of appellant's brief. See MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 125.03, 131.01.

Subdivision 4 includes a new requirement that the transcript be paginated consecutively, to the extent possible. This requirement is intended to reduce the number of transcripts requiring complicated citation forms. The goal is to have consecutive pagination of the entire trial, and any pretrial proceedings that immediately precede the trial as well as any other portions of the transcript that are ordered at the same time. If multiple court reporters were involved in transcribing the proceedings, various segments of the transcript can be assigned blocks of numbers so that pagination will be consecutive, albeit with potential for "missing" numbers. In that event, the transcript should clearly show that the missing numbers are intentionally omitted and identify the correct following transcript page number. There may be situations where it is impossible to paginate the transcript in this manner, and the rule recognizes such occasions may exist. The Committee believes that consecutive pagination should become the norm for transcripts, however, and this rule should make consecutive pagination the standard practice of court reporters.

The rule also includes the requirement that any testimony given by audio, video or other electronic means must be transcribed unless the court reporter provides an existing transcript of the videotape testimony, verifying its accuracy. The requirement for transcription applies only to testimony offered as such at trial, and not to non-testimonial evidence such as ordinary audio or video recordings, witness statements used for impeachment, or other recordings received as exhibits. If an existing transcript exists, it must be submitted with the electronic testimony and it is made part of the record on appeal. The reporter at trial certifies that what is included in the transcript is what transpired at the trial, but does not need to certify the accuracy or quality of the previously-prepared transcription. This rule change does not affect the procedure for criminal appeals, as they are governed by MINN. R. CRIM. P. 28.02, subd. 9.

See Appendix for form of certificate as to transcript and certificate of filing and delivery (Forms 110A and 110B).

RULE 110.03 STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS WHEN NO REPORT WAS MADE OR WHEN THE TRANSCRIPT IS UNAVAILABLE

If no report of all or any part of the proceedings at a hearing or trial was made, or if a transcript is unavailable, the appellant may, within 15 days after service of the notice of appeal, prepare a statement of the proceedings from the best available means, including recollection. The statement is not intended to be a complete re-creation of testimony or arguments.

Appellant shall file the original proposed statement with the trial court administrator and the clerk of the appellate courts, and serve a copy on respondent, within 15 days after filing the notice of appeal. The statement shall be served on the respondent, who may serve objections or propose amendments within 15 days after service. Within 15 days after service of appellant's statement, respondent may file with the trial court administrator and the clerk of the appellate courts objections or proposed amendments, and serve a copy on appellant.

The statement and any objections or proposed amendments then shall be submitted to the trial court, and the statement as approved by the trial court shall be included in the record. The trial court may approve the statement submitted by appellant, or modify the statement based on respondent's submissions or the court's own recollection of the proceedings. The statement as approved by the trial court shall be included in the record. The trial court's approval of the statement shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts wWithin 60 days of the filing of the notice of appeal, the original trial court approval of the statement shall be filed with the trial court administrator and copies of the approval shall be served on counsel for the parties and filed with the clerk of the appellate court.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The statement of the proceedings under Rule 110.03 may not be used if a transcript is available. The use of an agreed statement as the record under Rule 110.04 is restricted to situations where the parties agree on the essential facts and the portions of the record necessary for appellate review.

It was not clear under the former rule who was responsible for submitting the proposed statement and any objections to the trial court, or what the time period for the submission was. Under the amended rule, each party is responsible for filing their documents with the trial court administrator at the same time that the documents are served.

The amendment requires service of the proposed statement and objections on the clerk of the appellate courts, to allow the clerk's office to monitor whether the statement is being processed in a timely fashion. In addition, the amendment clarifies that the original approval is to be filed with the trial court administrator, with copies to counsel and the clerk of the appellate courts. Under the rule, the original statement and approval were filed with the clerk of the appellate courts. The amendment requires that the original be filed with the trial court administrator, because it is part of the record of the proceedings.

The amendment is also intended to clarify that the trial court is not bound by the parties' submissions but may modify the statement based on the court's own recollection.

RULE 114. COURT OF APPEALS REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

RULE 114.01 HOW OBTAINED

Review by the Court of Appeals of the validity of administrative rules pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 14.44 may be obtained by:

- (a) filing a petition for declaratory judgment with the clerk of the appellate courts;
- (b) paying the filing fee of \$250.00 to the clerk of the appellate courts, unless no fee is required pursuant to Rule 103.01, subdivision 3;
- (c) serving the petition upon the attorney general and the agency or body whose rule is to be reviewed;
- (d) filing proof of service with the clerk of the appellate courts; and
- (e) filing a cost bond or other security with the agency or body, unless no bond is required pursuant to Rule 107, subdivision 2, or the agency or board waives the bond.

RULE 114.02 CONTENTS OF PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

The petition shall briefly describe the specific rule to be reviewed and the errors claimed by petitioner. An original and one copy of the completed statement of the case pursuant to Rule 133.03 and a copy of the rule which is to be reviewed shall be attached to the petition. The title and form of the petition should conform to that shown in the appendix to these rules.

RULE 114.03 RECORD ON REVIEW OF PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; TRANSMISSION OF RECORD

Subd. 1. Review of the Record. Review of the validity of administrative rules shall be on the record made in the agency rulemaking process. To the extent possible, the description of the record contained in Rule 110.01 and the provisions of Rules 110.02, 110.05, and 111 shall apply to declaratory judgment actions.

Subd. 2. Transmission of Record. Unless the time is extended by order of the court on a showing of good cause, the record shall be forwarded by the agency or body to the clerk of the appellate courts with an itemized list as described in Rule 111.01 within 30 days after service of the petition.

RULE 114.04 BRIEFING

Petitioner's brief and appendix shall be served and filed in accordance with Rule 131.01 and briefing shall proceed in accordance with that rule.

RULE 114.05 PARTICIPANTS

Persons, other than the petitioner, agency, and attorney general, may participate in the declaratory judgment action only with leave of the Court of Appeals. Permission may be sought by filing a motion with the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 127 or Rule 129 and serving that motion upon all other parties. The motion shall describe the nature of the movant's participation below, the interest which would be represented in the declaratory judgment action, and the manner in which the rule affects the rights or privileges of the moving party.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

By statute the Court of Appeals is granted original jurisdiction to review by declaratory judgment the validity of administrative rules promulgated by a state agency. MINN. STAT. § 14.44 (1996). The statute contains no provisions regarding the procedure by which this review is to be accomplished. The Court of Appeals promulgated MINN. APP. SPEC. R. PRACT. 10, effective October 25, 1991, to provide a procedural framework for such proceedings, but the Special Rules of Practice are not routinely referred to by the practicing bar when trying to determine matters of appellate procedure. To remedy this problem, a new rule, Rule 114, has been adopted. A declaratory judgment action in the Court of Appeals is the proper method to challenge a rule prior to its application or enforcement. The grounds for challenging a rule, which must be described in the petition required by Rule 114.02, are prescribed by MINN. STAT. § 14.45 (1996). Only formally promulgated rules may be challenged in a pre-enforcement action under MINN. STAT. § 14.44. *Minnesota Educ. Ass'n v. Minnesota State*

Bd. of Educ., 499 N.W.2d 846, 849 (Minn. App. 1993). This pre-enforcement challenge must be distinguished from a contested case action in which a rule is applied to a particular party and the validity of the rule, as illustrated by the application in the individual case, may be considered. *See Mammenga v. State Dep't. of Human Servs.*, 442 N.W.2d 786 (Minn. 1989).

RULE 115. COURT OF APPEALS REVIEW OF DECISIONS OF THE COMMISSIONER OF JOBS AND TRAINING ECONOMIC SECURITY AND OTHER DECISIONS REVIEWABLE BY CERTIORARI AND REVIEW OF DECISIONS APPEALABLE PURSUANT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

RULE 115.01 HOW OBTAINED; TIME FOR SECURING WRIT

Review by the Court of Appeals of decisions of the Commissioner of Jobs and Training Economic Security and other decisions reviewable by certiorari and review of decisions appealable pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act may be had by securing issuance of a writ of certiorari within 30 days after the date of mailing notice of the decision to the party applying for the writ, unless an applicable statute prescribes a different period of time. The appeal period and the acts required to invoke appellate jurisdiction are governed by the applicable statute.

* * *

RULE 115.03 CONTENTS OF THE PETITION AND WRIT; FILING AND SERVICE

- **Subd. 1. Contents and Form of Petition, Writ and Response.** The petition shall definitely and briefly state the decision, judgment, order or proceeding which is sought to be reviewed and the errors which the petitioner claims. A copy of the decision and two copies an original and one copy of a completed statement of the case pursuant to Rule 133.03 shall be attached to the petition. The title and form of the petition and writ should be as shown in the appendix to these rules. The respondent's statement of the case, if any, shall be filed and served within 10 days after receiving service of the petitioner's statement.
- **Subd. 2. Bond or Security.** (a) The petitioner shall file with the agency or body the cost bond or other security required by statute or by the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 107, unless no bond is required under Rule 107, subd. 2, or by statute, or the bond is waived under Rule 107, subd. 1.
- (b) The agency or body may stay enforcement of the decision in accordance with Rule 108. Application for a supersedeas bond or a stay on other terms must be made in the first instance to the agency or body. Upon motion, the Court of Appeals may review the agency's or body's decision on a stay and the terms of any stay.
- **Subd. 3. Filing; Fees.** The clerk of the appellate courts shall file the original petition and issue the original writ. The petitioner shall pay \$250 to the clerk of the appellate courts, unless a

different filing fee is required by statute no fee is required under Rule 103.01, subdivision 3, or by statute.

Subd. 4. Service; Time. The petitioner shall serve copies of the petition and the writ, if issued, upon the courtagency or body to whom which it is directed and upon any every party. within 30 days after the date of mailing notice of the decision to the petitioner, unless an applicable statute prescribes a different period of time. Proof of service shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts within 5 five days of service. A copy of the petition and writ shall be provided to the attorney general at the time of service, unless the state is neither a party nor the body to whom which the writ is directed.

RULE 115.04 THE RECORD ON REVIEW BY CERTIORARI; TRANSMISSION OF THE RECORD

Subd. 1. General Application of Rules 110 and 111. To the extent possible, the provisions of Rules 110 and 111 respecting the record and the time and manner of its transmission and filing or return in appeals shall govern upon the issuance of the writ and the parties shall proceed as though the appeal had been commenced by the filing of a notice of appeal, unless otherwise provided by this rule, the court or by statute. Each reference in those rRules 110 and 111 to the trial court, the trial court administrator, and the notice of appeal shall be read, where appropriate, as a reference to the body whose decision is to be reviewed, to the administrator, clerk or secretary thereof, and to the writ of certiorari respectively.

Subd. 2. Transcript of Audiotaped Proceedings. If a proceeding has been audiotaped and a record of the proceeding is necessary for the appeal, the relator shall order the transcript from the agency or body within 10 days after the writ of certiorari is filed. The relator shall make appropriate financial arrangements with the agency or body for the transcription. The agency or body shall designate a court reporter or other qualified person to transcribe the audiotape. The agency or body shall serve and file a transcript certificate pursuant to Rule 110.02, subdivision 2(a) within 10 days after the transcript is ordered. The reporter shall file the original and first copy of the transcript with the agency or body, deliver a copy to the attorney for each party to the appeal separately represented, and file a certificate of filing and delivery pursuant to Rule 110.02, subdivision 2(b).

<u>Subd. 3. Transmission of Record.</u> Within 10 days after the due date for the filing of relator's brief, the agency or body shall transmit the entire record of the proceeding under review to the clerk of the appellate courts, pursuant to Rule 111.01.

* * *

Advisory Committee Comment —1998 Amendments

The amendments to this rule in 1998 update references to the Department of Economic Security, clarify that the time for appeal and jurisdictional acts are defined by statute, clarify the terms used to refer to the parties, and establish procedures for transcribing audiotapes of agency proceedings.

Because certiorari in Minnesota is a statutory remedy, the jurisdictional prerequisites for certiorari review are governed by the applicable statute, not by the appellate rules. Statutes governing various types of decisions reviewable by certiorari may establish different time limitations and contain different requirements for securing review by the Court of Appeals. Examples of different statutory requirements include: proceedings governed by the Administrative Procedure Act, MINN. STAT. §§ 14.63–.64 (1996) (service and filing of petition

for writ of certiorari not more than 30 days after party receives final decision and order of agency; timely motion for reconsideration extends time until service of order disposing of motion); reemployment benefits proceedings, MINN. STAT. § 268.105, subd. 7 (1996) (service and filing of petition for writ of certiorari within 30 days of mailing of Commissioner of Economic Security's decision); and proceedings under the general certiorari statute, MINN. STAT. §§ 606.01-.02 (1996) (issuance of writ and service of issued writ within 60 days after party applying for writ receives due notice of proceeding to be reviewed). The Rule has been modified to make clear that the applicable statutes will determine the time limitations and triggering events for review.

The rule has been modified to clarify the procedure for obtaining a stay of the order for which review is sought. As with other appellate proceedings, requests for stays should be addressed in the first instance to the agency or body which has issued the challenged decision.

A party seeking certiorari review is a petitioner unless and until the court issues a writ of certiorari. After a writ has been issued, the party seeking review is called the relator. The adverse party or parties and the agency or body whose decision is to be reviewed are the respondents.

Finally, the revisions clarify and make more specific the procedures for preparation and submission of the record for appellate review.

RULE 117. PETITION IN SUPREME COURT FOR REVIEW OF DECISIONS OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

* * *

Subd. 4. Conditional Petition for Review. Any party who would seek review of designated issues if another party files a timely petition, may file a conditional petition for review. That conditional petition shall be considered and decided by the court only in the event of the filing of another party's petition. The conditional petition with proof of service shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts within 30 days of the filing of the Court of Appeals' decision and shall comply with subd. 2 of this rule. A filing fee of \$250 shall be paid to the clerk of the appellate courts only if another party files a petition for review. If only conditional petitions are filed to review a Court of Appeals' decision, none of those petitions will be operative or decided by the court.

Subd. 5 4. Response and Request for Cross-Review. An opposing party may file with the clerk of the appellate courts a response to the petition within 20 days of service. The response shall comply with the requirements set forth for the petition and shall contain proof of service. Any responding party may, in its response, also conditionally seek review of additional designated issues not raised by the petition. In the event of such a conditional request, the party filing the initial petition for review shall not be entitled to file a response unless the court requests one on its own initiative.

Subd. 6. Amicus Curiae. Any applicant A request for leave to participate in the appeal as amicus curiae is governed by in the event the petition for review is granted shall, upon prior notice to the parties, seek permission from the Supreme Court within the time provided in subd. 5 of this rule. The application shall, in other respects, comply with Rule 129.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The 1998 revisions to Rule 117 eliminate the provision for "conditional" petitions for review. In its stead, the revised rule allows parties to include in their responses a conditional request to the court to review additional issues only if the petition is granted. This procedure mirrors the procedure used in criminal appeals. *See* MINN. R. CRIM. P. 29.04, subd. 6 (appeals to Court of Appeals). The revised rule does not provide for any expansion of the five-page limit for the response in order to accommodate the conditional request for review of additional issues. By the same token, the amended rule does not allow a reply by the party initially seeking

review, since that party has already indicated to the court that the case satisfies some of the criteria of Rule 117.

A party who wishes to have issues reviewed by the Supreme Court regardless of the court's actions on a previously filed petition should file a petition within the 30-day time limit from decision, since the court is unlikely to deny an initial petition but grant review of issues raised only conditionally in a response. Likewise, a party who would feel constrained by the page limit of a response which includes a conditional request for review of additional issues should file a separate petition for review within the time provided by Rule 117 for an initial petition, thirty days from the date of filing the Court of Appeals' decision.

RULE 120. WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION DIRECTED TO A JUDGE OR JUDGES AND OTHER WRITS

RULE 120.01 PETITION FOR WRIT

Application for a writ of mandamus or of prohibition or for any other extraordinary writ in the Supreme Court directed to the Court of Appeals or in the Court of Appeals directed to a trial court shall be made by petition. The petition shall specify the lower court decision and the name of the judge and shall contain:

- (a) a statement of the facts necessary to an understanding of the issues presented by the application;
 - (b) a statement of the issues presented and the precise relief sought; and
 - (c) a statement of the reasons why the extraordinary writ should issue.

Petitioner shall attach a copy of the trial court decision challenged in the petition, and Iif necessary to an understanding of the issues, <u>additional</u> pertinent lower court documents shall be attached to the petition.

The petition shall be titled "In re [name of petitioner], Petitioner," followed by the trial court caption, and shall be captioned in the court in which the application is made, in the manner specified in Rule 120.04.

RULE 120.02 SUBMISSION OF PETITION; ANSWER RESPONSE TO THE PETITION

The petition and a proposed writ shall be served on all parties and filed with the clerk of the appellate courts, eaptioned in the court in which the application is made, in the manner specified in Rule 120.04. If the lower court is a party, it shall be served; in all other cases, it should be notified of the filing of the petition and provided with a copy of the petition and any response. All parties other than the petitioner shall be deemed respondents and may answer jointly or separately within 5 five days after the service of the petition. If a respondent does not desire to respond, the clerk of the appellate courts and all parties shall be advised by letter within the 5 five-day period, but the petition shall not thereby be taken as admitted.

* * *

RULE 120.04 FILING; FORM OF PAPERS; NUMBER OF COPIES

Upon receipt of a \$250 filing fee, the clerk of the appellate courts shall file the petition. All papers and briefs may be typewritten and in the form specified in Rule 132.02. Four copies with proof of services shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts, but the reviewing court may direct that additional copies be provided. Service of all papers and briefs may be made by mail. The petition shall be entitled as in the lower court.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The primary purpose of these amendments is to modify extraordinary writ procedure to allow a party to seek relief without requiring that party to sue the trial court. This change follows in some respects the amendments made to the federal rules of appellate procedure in 1997. The rule, however, retains most of the remaining procedural requirements of the existing rule inasmuch as they work well in practice in Minnesota.

The rule eliminates any requirement that the trial court judge be named as a party. It is still possible to name the judge as a respondent in the writ proceeding, but this rule does not require it. This change is intended to make it less likely that the seeking of the writ will interfere with the orderly handling of ongoing proceedings in the trial court. The rule also eliminates the requirement that a proposed writ be filed because that document is of little use to the courts.

The forms relating to this rule are also amended as part of these changes.

RULE 128. BRIEFS RULE 128.01. INFORMAL BRIEFS AND LETTER BRIEFS

Subd. 1. Informal Briefs. Informal briefs may be authorized by the appellate court and shall contain a concise statement of the party's arguments on appeal, together with the appendix required by Rule 130.01. The informal brief shall have a cover and may be bound informally by stapling.

Subd. 2. Reliance Upon Trial Court Memoranda. If counsel elects, in the statement of the case, to rely upon memoranda submitted to the trial court supplemented by a short letter argument, the submission shall be covered and may be informally bound by stapling. The trial court submissions and decision shall be attached as the appendix.

RULE 128.02 FORMAL BRIEF

Subd. 1. Brief of Appellant. The formal brief of the appellant shall contain under appropriate headings and in the form here indicated:

* * *

(b) A concise statement of the legal issue or issues involved, omitting unnecessary detail. Each issue shall be stated as an appellate court would state the broad issue presented. Each issue shall be followed by a concise statement of the trial court's ruling and a list of the most apposite cases, not to exceed four, and the most apposite constitutional and statutory provisions.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

Rule 128.02 is amended in 1998 to add a requirement for listing the most apposite cases for each issue in the statement of issues. This rule is part of the briefing requirements for the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and provides useful guidance on the issues. *See* 8th Cir. R. 28A(I)(4). MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 128.02, subd. 2, does not expressly require a statement of issues in a responding brief, but if one is included, it should conform to this rule. In addition, the provisions concerning letter briefs formerly found in Rule 132.01,

RULE 129 BRIEF OF AN AMICUS CURIAE

Subd. 1. Request for Leave to Participate. Upon prior notice to the parties, a brief of an amicus curiae may be filed with leave of the appellate court. The applicant shall serve and file a request for leave no later than 15 days after the filing of the notice of appeal, the petition which initiates the appeal, the appellate petition for declaratory judgment, or the appellate court order granting review. A request for leave shall identify whether the applicant's interest is public or private in nature, identify the party supported or indicate whether the amicus brief will suggest affirmance or reversal, and shall state the reason why a brief of an amicus curiae is desirable. A timely request for leave shall stay all briefing periods until the request is granted or denied.

<u>Subd. 2. Time for Filing and Service.</u> Copies of an amicus curiae brief shall be served on all parties and filed with the clerk of the appellate courts with proof of service <u>no later than seven days after the time allowed for filing the brief of the party supported, or if in support of neither party, no later than the time allowed for filing the petitioner's or appellant's brief.</u>

Subd. 3 Oral Argument. An amicus curiae shall not participate in oral argument except with leave of the appellate court.

RULE 130. THE APPENDIX TO THE BRIEFS; SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD

RULE 130.01 RECORD NOT TO BE PRINTED; APPELLANT TO FILE APPENDIX

Subd. 1. Record; Portions. The record shall not be printed. The appellant shall prepare and file an appendix to its brief. The appendix shall be separately and consecutively numbered and shall contain the following portions of the record:

* * *

- (h) the notice of appeal; and
- (i) if the constitutionality of a statute is challenged, proof of compliance with Rule 144.04; and
 - (ij) the index to the documents contained in the appendix.

The parties shall have regard for the fact that the entire record is always available to the appellate court for reference or examination and shall not engage in unnecessary reproduction.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

Rule 144.04 requires notice to be provided to the Attorney General when the constitutionality of a statute is challenged. The amended rule requires the party challenging the constitutionality to include in the appendix proof of compliance with the rule.

RULE 131. FILING AND SERVICE OF BRIEFS, THE APPENDIX, AND THE SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD

RULE 131.01 TIME FOR FILING AND SERVICE

- Subd. 1. Appellant's Brief. The appellant shall serve and file a brief and appendix within 30 days after delivery of the transcript by the reporter or after the filing of the trial court's approval of the statement pursuant to Rules 110.03 and 110.04. If the transcript is delivered by United States Mail, three days are added to the briefing period, which is measured from the date the transcript was mailed. If the transcript is obtained prior to appeal or if the record on appeal does not include a transcript, then the appellant shall serve and file a brief and appendix with the clerk of the appellate courts within 30 days after the filing of the notice of appeal, the petition which initiates the appeal, the appellate petition for declaratory judgment, or the appellate court order granting review.
- **Subd. 2. Respondent's Brief.** The respondent shall serve and file a brief and appendix, if any, within 30 days after service of the brief of the appellant or the last appellant's brief, if there are multiple appellants, or within 30 days after delivery of a transcript ordered by respondent pursuant to Rule 110.02, subdivision 1, whichever is later.
- <u>Subd. 3. Reply Brief.</u> The appellant may serve and file a reply brief within <u>10 ten</u> days after the later of the following:
 - (a) service of the respondent's brief or the last respondent's brief if there are multiple

respondents; or

(b) service of the brief of an amicus curiae granted leave to participate under Rule 129.

<u>Subd. 4. Supplemental Record.</u> If a party prepares a supplemental record, the supplemental records shall be served and filed with that party's first brief.

RULE 131.02 APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

<u>Subd. 1.</u> <u>Motion for Extension.</u> No extension of the time fixed by Rule 131.01 for the filing of the appellant's brief and appendix and the respondent's brief will be granted except upon a motion pursuant to Rule 127 made within the time specified for the filing of the brief. The motion shall be heard and considered by a justice, judge or a person designated by the appellate courts, acting as a referee, and shall be granted only for good cause shown. Only an original of the motion shall be filed.

Subd. 2. Procedure. The date the brief is due shall be stated in the motion. The motion shall be supported by an affidavit which discloses facts showing that with due diligence, and giving reasonable priority to the preparation of the brief, it will not be possible to file the brief on time. All factual statements required by this rule shall be set forth with specificity.

RULE 131.03 NUMBER OF COPIES TO BE FILED AND SERVED

- **Subd. 1. Number of Copies.** Unless otherwise specified by the appellate court, the following number of copies of each brief, appendix, and supplemental record, if any, shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts:
 - (a) In an appeal to the Supreme Court, 14 copies. Two copies of the 14 shall be unbound.
- (b) In an appeal to the Court of Appeals, nine seven copies. Two copies One copy of the nine seven shall be unbound.

If counsel has elected, in the statement of the case form, to rely on memoranda submitted to the trial court, supplemented by a short letter argument, the number of copies required by this rule shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

This rule has been revised to make more clear the event from which the due date of the opening brief is calculated, the due date for responsive briefs, and the procedure for obtaining extensions of time to file briefs. The amended rule also reduces the number of copies of briefs that must be filed in the Court of Appeals.

In instances where it is not necessary to await the preparation of a transcript, the time for the opening brief begins to run when the appellate proceedings are formally commenced. When review is not as a matter of right, but depends on some grant of leave from the appellate court, the time for the opening brief does not begin to run until that permission is granted.

If either party has ordered a transcript, the time for the opening brief runs from the date the transcript is delivered. Consistent with Rule 125.03, three days are added to the briefing period if the transcript was delivered by United States Mail. The revised rule makes that calculation clear.

Generally, service of appellant's brief begins the 30-day period for the filing of respondent's brief. If respondent has ordered a transcript pursuant to Rule 110.02, subd. 1, respondent's briefing period does not begin until delivery of the transcript, if the transcript is delivered after appellant's brief is served.

Specific grounds for any extension of a brief due date must be shown in the affidavit accompanying the motion. Extensions of time to file briefs are not favored.

The rule has also been changed to reduce the number of briefs to be filed in the Court of Appeals from nine to seven. While the rule previously required two unbound copies for the Court of Appeals, it now only requires one such copy. The number of bound and unbound copies required by the Supreme Court is unchanged.

RULE 132. FORM OF BRIEFS, APPENDICES, SUPPLEMENTAL RECORDS, MOTIONS AND OTHER PAPERS

RULE 132.01. FORM OF BRIEFS, APPENDICES, AND SUPPLEMENTAL RECORDS

Subd. 1. Form Requirements. Any process capable of producing a clear black image on white paper may be used. All material other than footnotes must appear in at least 11 point type, or its equivalent of not more than 16 characters per inch, on unglazed opaque paper. Formal briefs and accompanying appendices shall be bound together by a method which satisfies the published criteria of the Supreme Court securely affixes the contents, and which is substantially equivalent to the list of approved binding methods maintained by the Clerk of Appellate Courts. Methods of binding which are not approved include stapling, continuous coil spiral binding, spiral comb bindings and similar bindings. Pages shall be 8½ by 11 inches in size with written matter not exceeding 6½ x 9½ inches. Written matter shall appear on only one side of the paper. The pages of the appendix shall be separately and consecutively numbered. Briefs and appendices submitted in typewritten form shall be double spaced. Carbon copies shall not be submitted.

Subd. 2. Front Cover. The front cover of the brief and appendix shall contain:

- (a) the name of the court and the appellate court docket number, which number shall be printed or lettered in bold-face print or prominent lettering and shall be located one-half inch from the top center of the cover;
 - (b) the title of the case;
 - (c) the title of the document, e.g., Appellant's Brief and Appendix; and
- (d) the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the attorneys representing each party to the appeal, and attorney registration license numbers of the preparers of the brief.

The front cover shall not be protected by a clear plastic or mylar sheet.

If briefs are produced by commercial printing or duplicating firms formally bound, the cover of the brief of the appellant should be blue; that of the respondent, red; that of an intervenor or amicus curiae, green; that of any reply brief, gray. The cover of the appendix, if separately printed, should be white. The cover of an amendment or supplement should be the same color as the document which it amends or supplements. In the event the Supreme Court grants a petition for review of a decision of the Court of Appeals, the covers of the briefs shall be the same color as those filed by the party in the Court of Appeals.

* * *

Subd. 5. Reliance Upon Trial Court Memoranda. If counsel elects, in the statement of the case, to rely upon memoranda submitted to the trial court supplemented by a short letter

argument, the submission shall be covered and may be informally bound by stapling. The trial court submissions and decision shall be attached as the appendix.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

Rule 132.01, subd. 1 has been modified to make clear the requirement that the written material in briefs should appear on only one side of the paper. The Clerk of Appellate Courts maintains a list of approved binding methods and this list is available upon request.

Rule 132.01, subd. 2 has been modified in two respects. First, the rule has been re-written to make clear that in all cases where formal bound briefs are submitted, the color coding requirements apply. The rule has also been changed to eliminate the provision regarding the color of brief covers in the Supreme Court. The rule previously provided that the parties would use the same color covers as they did in the Court of Appeals. This caused considerable confusion among the bar, and the requirement was dropped in favor of a rule that consistently requires the opening brief of the appellant to be blue, the opening brief of the party responding to that brief to be red, and reply briefs to be gray. Rule 101.02, subd. 6 defines "appellant" to mean the party seeking review, including relators and petitioners.

MINN. STAT. § 480.0515, subd. 2 (1996), requires documents submitted by an attorney to a court of this state, and all papers appended to the document be submitted on paper containing not less than ten percent postconsumer material, as defined in MINN. STAT. § 115A.03, subd. 24b. The statute also provides that a court may not refuse a document solely because the document was not submitted on recycled paper. Finally, subd. (3)(b) of the statute makes the entire section nonapplicable "if recycled paper is not readily available."

Subdivision 5 of this Rule regarding reliance upon trial court memoranda has been moved to Rule 128.01, subd. 2.

RULE 134. ORAL ARGUMENT

* * *

RULE 134.09 ORAL ARGUMENT—PLACE OF ARGUMENT

* * *

Subd. 2. Court of Appeals. Argument to the Court of Appeals shall take place in the Minnesota Judicial Center in St. Paul or as specifically provided in this rule.

* * *

(b) Arguments on writs of certiorari to review decisions of the Commissioner of Jobs and Training Economic Security shall be heard as follows:

Advisory Committee Comment —1998 Amendments

The rule has been amended to use the correct title of the Commissioner of Economic Security. The change is not intended to affect the meaning or interpretation of the rule.

RULE 136 NOTICE OF DECISION; JUDGMENT; REMITTITUR

RULE 136.01 DECISION

Subd. 1. Written Decision.

- (a) Each Court of Appeals disposition shall be <u>written</u> in the form of a <u>statement of the</u> decision, accompanied by an opinion containing a <u>summary of the case</u> and the reasons for the decision; however if the appeal is dismissed for failure to comply with these rules or if the court determines that the contents of the statement of the decision sufficiently explain the disposition made, no written opinion need be prepared <u>published opinion</u>, unpublished opinion, or an order opinion.
- (b) An order statement of the decision without written opinion shall not be officially published and shall not be cited as precedent, except as law of the case, res judicata or collateral estoppel.
- (b) Unpublished opinions and order opinions are not precedential except as law of the case, res judicata or collateral estoppel, and may be cited only as provided in Minn. Stat. § 480A.08, subd. 3 (1996).

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

This rule is amended to remove any specific form requirements for Court of Appeals decisions. It embodies the different types of opinions issued by the court. The rule removes the prohibition against citation of order opinions in subd. (b) and treats both unpublished opinions and order opinions identically in the new subd. (b). It permits citation of these opinions in accordance with MINN. STAT. § 480A.08, subd. 3 (1996).

RULE 137. EXECUTION ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY JUDGMENTS

An execution to enforce any judgment of the appellate court may issue to the sheriff of any county in which a transcript of the judgment is filed and docketed. The execution shall be returnable within 60 days from its receipt by the officer. On the return of an execution satisfied in due form of law, the trial court administrator shall enter the satisfaction in the record.

- Subd. 1. Cases Originating in the District Courts. Upon transmittal as provided by Rule 136.03, money judgments entered in the appellate courts are enforceable in the district court action as though originally entered in that court.
- <u>Subd. 2. Cases Not Originating in the District Courts.</u> Appellate court judgments in cases not originating in the district courts are enforceable in the manner provided by the Uniform <u>Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act.</u>

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

This rule is amended to improve and clarify the procedures for enforcement of money judgments following appeal. Non-money judgments from the appellate courts are enforced by the district court on remand according to the direction of the appellate court, while money judgments are enforced by execution. The change essentially takes the appellate courts out of the business of issuing process for the enforcement of money judgments, and provides for the performance of those tasks by the district courts. A money judgment from the

appellate courts, whether for costs, damages or any other form of relief, is treated like any other judgment in the district court and transmittal as provided for by Rule 136.03 acts as its entry. As with any other district court judgment, an affidavit of identification of judgment debtor and docketing are required prior to enforcement.

Subdivision 2 of the rule is intended to obviate any confusion over the status of appellate court judgments entered in original or other proceedings not originating in the district courts. Enforcement of those judgments is available in the manner provided by the Uniform Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act, MINN. STAT. §§ 548.26–.33 (1996).

RULE 139. COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

* * *

RULE 139.06. ATTORNEYS' FEES ON APPEAL—PROCEDURE

Subd. 1. Request for Fees on Appeal. A party seeking attorneys' fees on appeal shall submit such a request by motion under Rule 127. The court may grant on its own motion an award of reasonable attorneys' fees to any party. All motions for fees must be submitted no later than within the time for taxation of costs, or such other period of time as the court directs. All motions for fees must include sufficient documentation to enable the appellate court to determine the appropriate amount of fees.

Subd. 2. Response. Any response to a motion for fees shall state the grounds for the objections with specificity and shall be filed within ten days of the date the motion is served, unless the appellate court allows a longer time. On the court's own motion or the request of a party, a request for attorneys' fees may be remanded to the district court for appropriate hearing and determination.

<u>Subd. 3. Applications for Pre-Decision Awards of Fees.</u> Where allowed by law, a predecision application for fees, and any response to such an application, may be made by motion as provided by Rule 127.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

The rule has been amended to provide a procedure for seeking attorneys' fees in the appellate courts. The amendments are procedural only, and do not provide a substantive basis for claiming fees on appeal.

Attorneys' fees on appeal may be allowed as a matter of substantive law or as a sanction. If a party seeks an award of attorneys' fees for work done on the appeal, as opposed to seeking appellate court affirmance of an award made below, the party should seek the award in the appellate court. *Johnson v. City of Shorewood*, 531 N.W.2d 509, 511 (Minn. App. 1995). The appellate court may choose to remand the issue to the trial court for a determination of the fees, *see Richards v. Richards*, 472 N.W.2d 162, 166 (Minn. App. 1991); *Katz v. Katz*, 380 N.W.2d 527, 531 (Minn. App. 1986), *aff'd*, 408 N.W.2d 835, 840 (Minn. 1987); or may refuse such a suggestion, and make the determination itself. *See State Bank v. Ziehwein*, 510 N.W.2d 268, 270 (Minn. App. 1994); *Norwest Bank Midland v. Shinnick*, 402 N.W.2d 818 (Minn. App. 1987).

The request for fees must include sufficient information to enable the appellate court to determine the appropriate amount of fees. This generally will include specific descriptions of the work performed, the number of hours spent on each item of work, the hourly rate charged for that work, and evidence concerning the usual and customary charges for such work, or if the basis for the fees is other than hourly, information by which the court can judge the propriety of the request. Where appropriate, copies of bills submitted to the client, redacted if necessary to preserve privileged information and work-product, may be submitted with the motion.

RULE 143. PARTIES; SUBSTITUTION; ATTORNEYS

* * *

RULE 143.05 ATTORNEYS

Subd. 1. Admission Required; Admission Pro Hac Vice. All pleadings filed with the appellate courts must be signed by an attorney licensed to practice in this State, or admitted pro hac vice to practice before the appellate courts. No attorney may present argument to the appellate courts unless licensed to practice in this State or admitted pro hac vice to appear before the appellate court as provided for by this rule.

An attorney licensed to practice law in Minnesota may move for the admission pro hac vice of an attorney admitted to practice law in another state or territory. The motion shall be accompanied by an affidavit of the attorney seeking pro hac vice admission attesting that he or she is a member in good standing of the bar of another state or territory.

- Subd. 2. Withdrawal of Attorneys. (a) After a lawyer has appeared for a party in the appellate courts, withdrawal will be effective only if written notice of withdrawal is served on the client and all parties who have appeared, or their lawyers if represented by counsel, and is filed with the Clerk of Appellate Courts. The notice of withdrawal shall state the address at which the client can be served and the address and phone number at which the client can be notified of matters relating to the appeal and shall be accompanied by proof of service.
- (b) Withdrawal of an attorney does not create any right to extend briefing deadlines or postpone argument.

Student Practice Rules may present oral argument only with leave of the appellate court. A motion for leave to present oral argument must be filed no later than 10 days before the date of the scheduled oral argument. The student may participate in oral argument only in the presence of the attorney of record.

Advisory Committee Comment—1998 Amendments

This rule is amended to provide explicitly for admission of out-of-state attorneys, withdrawal of attorneys, and appearance by certified students. Out-of-state attorneys may be admitted *pro hac vice* upon motion by a Minnesota attorney. Courts have the inherent power to establish rules for admission and regulation of lawyers appearing before them. This rule is consistent with that power. The Minnesota Legislature has specifically recognized that formal admission *pro hac vice* exempts the lawyer from any concern about the unauthorized practice of law. *See* MINN. STAT. § 481.02, subd. 6 (1996). This rule is generally consistent with the rules used in the trial courts. *See* MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 5, though that rule does not mandate a specific procedure.

The revised rule specifically prescribes when out-of-state lawyers must be admitted *pro hac vice*. Attorneys seeking to argue orally and those actually signing pleadings or briefs must be admitted; others appearing on the brief may wish to seek admission, but admission is not mandatory.

The rule does not require the motion for admission *pro hac vice* be brought at any particular time, but it should be brought sufficiently in advance of the time that a brief is to be submitted or argument is to be made so as to allow the appellate court to consider the motion and act upon it. Similarly, the rule does not provide for any responsive papers. In the unusual case that a motion for *pro hac vice* admission is opposed, the party opposing the motion should submit the opposition within the time for responding to any other motion.

Although the amended rule permits withdrawal upon notice to the court, counsel, and client, withdrawal should not impose any additional burdens on opposing parties or the court. It is imperative that the notice provide basic information to allow the court and opposing counsel to notify and serve the party whose

counsel withdraws. This procedure is consistent with the procedure under MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 108. Just as parties may elect to proceed *pro se* in the first instance, they may continue to represent themselves where their lawyers have withdrawn. This rule establishes the procedure for withdrawal of counsel; it does not itself authorize withdrawal nor does it change the rules governing a lawyer's right or obligation to withdraw in any way. The rule does not affect or lessen a lawyer's obligations to the client upon withdrawal. Those matters are governed by the Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct. *See* MINN. R. PROF. COND. 1.16.

The rule makes it clear that the withdrawal of counsel does not, in itself, justify extension of the appellate deadlines or the postponement of argument. The existence of these impending deadlines should, however, be considered by counsel in determining if withdrawal can be effected without prejudicing the client. Withdrawal or substitution of counsel may be part of a set of circumstances justifying the exercise of the court's discretion to grant an extension or postponement.

The Minnesota Student Practice Rules allow certified law students to perform all functions that an attorney may perform in representing and appearing on behalf of a client. *See* MINN. R. STUDENT PRAC. 1.01 & 2.01. A motion is required to argue orally in the appellate courts.

FORM 133. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN (SUPREME COURT OR IN COURT OF APPEALS)

| CASE | ETITLE | 3: | | | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Appellant, | STATEMENT OF THE CASE OF (APPELLANT) (RESPONDENT) TRIAL COURT CASE NUMBER: | | |
| | VS. | | APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER: | | |
| | | Respondent. | | | |
| 1. | Cour | t or agency of case originat | ion and name of presiding judge or hearing officer. | | |
| 2. | Jurisdictional statement | | | | |
| | <u>(A)</u> | Appeal from district court. | | | |
| | | Statute, rule or other autho | rity authorizing appeal: | | |
| | | Date of entry of judgment appeal is taken: | or date of service of notice of filing of order from which | | |
| | Authority fixing time limit for filing notice of appeal (specify applicable rule or statute): | | | | |
| | | Date of filing any moti | on that tolls appeal time: | | |
| | | Date of filing of order filing: | deciding tolling motion and date of service of notice of | | |

| (B) | <u>Certiorari</u> | a | p | peal | l. |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|------|----|
| | | | | | |

Statute, rule or other authority authorizing certiorari appeal:

Authority fixing time limit for obtaining certiorari review (cite statutory section and date of event triggering appeal time, *e.g.*, mailing of decision, receipt of decision, or receipt of other notice):

(C) Other appellate proceedings.

Statute, rule or other authority authorizing appellate proceeding:

Authority fixing time limit for appellate review (cite statutory section and date of event triggering appeal time, *e.g.*, mailing of decision, receipt of decision, or receipt of other notice):

(D) Finality of order or judgment.

Does the judgment or order to be reviewed dispose of all claims by and against all parties, including attorney fees? Yes () No ()

If no:

Did the district court order entry of a final partial judgment for immediate appeal pursuant to MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 104.01? Yes () No () or

If yes, provide date of order:

If no, is the order or judgment appealed from reviewable under any exception to the finality rule? Yes () No ()

If yes, cite rule, statute, or other authority authorizing appeal:

(E) Criminal only:

Has a sentence been imposed or imposition of sentence stayed? Yes () No ()

| TC | • , | | 1 | .1 | • , 1 , | 1 |
|---------|------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| It no | CITE | ctatute | or rule | authorizing | interlocutor | v anneal: |
| 11 110. | CILC | statute | or ruic | aumonzing | microcutor | y appear. |

| 3. | State type of litigation and designate any statutes at issue. | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 4. | Brief description of claims, defenses, issues litigated and result below. <u>For criminal cases, specify whether conviction was for a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony offense.</u> | | |
| 5. | <u>List specific</u> issues proposed to be raised on appeal. | | |
| <u>6.</u> | Related appeals. | | |
| | List all prior or pending appeals arising from the same action as this appeal. If none, so state. | | |
| | List any known pending appeals in separate actions raising similar issues to this appeal. If none are known, so state. | | |
| 6. 7. | Contents of record. | | |
| | Is <u>a</u> transcript <u>required</u> <u>necessary to review the issues on appeal? Yes () No ()</u> | | |
| | If so yes, full () or partial () transcript? | | |
| | Has the transcript already been delivered to the parties and filed with the trial court administrator? Yes () No () | | |
| | If not, has it been ordered from the court reporter? Yes () No () | | |
| | If a transcript is unavailable, is a statement of the proceedings under Rule 110.03 necessary? Yes () No () | | |
| | <u>In lieu of the record as defined in Rule 110.01, have the parties agreed to prepare a statement of the record pursuant to Rule 110.04? Yes () No ()</u> | | |
| 7 <u>8</u> . | Is oral argument requested? Yes () No () | | |
| | If so, is argument requested at a location other than that provided in Rule 134.09, subd. 2? Yes () No () | | |

If yes, state where argument is requested:

| 8. | Are formal briefs necessary? or | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Are trial memoranda, supplemented by a short letter argument, sufficient? | | | | |
| | (If the latter form is selected, it is the duty of counsel to provide the appellate court with the or of copies required by Rule 131.01 and in the form required by Rule 132.01, all endorsed the appellate court docket number.) | | | | |
| <u>9.</u> | Identify the type of brief to be filed. | | | | |
| | Formal brief under Rule 128.02. () | | | | |
| | Informal brief under Rule 128.01, subd. 1 (must be accompanied by motion to accept unless submitted by claimant for reemployment benefits). () | | | | |
| | Trial memoranda, supplemented by a short letter argument, under Rule 128.01, subd. 2. () | | | | |
| 9 <u>10</u> . | Names, addresses, zip codes and telephone numbers of attorney for appellant and respondent. | | | | |
| | NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND ATTORNEY REGISTRATION LICENSE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S) FOR (APPELLANT) (RESPONDENT) | | | | |
| | SIGNATURE | | | | |
| OR, IF | OR, IF NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL: | | | | |
| | NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF (APPELLANT) (RESPONDENT) | | | | |
| | SIGNATURE (OF APPELLANT) (OF RESPONDENT) | | | | |

Dated:

(The Statement of Case is not a jurisdictional document, but it is important to the proper and efficient processing of the appeal by the appellate courts. The "jurisdictional statement" section is intended to provide sufficient information for the appellate court to easily determine whether the order or judgment is appealable and if the appeal is timely. The nature of the proceedings below and the notice of appeal determine the jurisdiction of the appellate court. The sections requesting information about the issues litigated in the lower court or tribunal, and the issues proposed to be raised on appeal are for the court's information, and do not expand or limit the issues that might be addressed on appeal. Likewise, the section asking counsel to identify any prior or pending appeals from the same case, and any separate appeals that raise similar issues is intended to provide more information about the procedural history of the case and to ensure that the court has early notice of other pending related matters in case consolidation is appropriate.)

FORM 110<u>A</u>. CERTIFICATE AS TO TRANSCRIPT

(to be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts within 10 days from the date the transcript was ordered)

| STAT | TE OF MINNESOTA | DISTRICT COURT |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COU | NTY OF | COUNTY COURT |
| | | JUDICIAL DISTRICT |
| CAGE | | |
| CASE | E TITLE: | |
| | | CERTIFICATE AS |
| Plaint | iff, | TO TRANSCRIPT |
| | VS. | Supreme Court |
| | | Court of Appeals |
| Defen | ndant. | APPELLATE COURT |
| | | CASE NUMBER: |
| | | TRIAL COURT CASE NUMBER: |
| TO: | Clerk of the Appellate Courts Minnesota Judicial Center St. Paul, MN 55155 | |
| Rule pages | was requested by counsel for the 110.02, subdivision 2 of the Rule. | defendant (specify dates) in the above-entitled defendant (specify party) on (date) in accordance with s of Civil Appellate Procedure. The estimated number of cof completion is, a date not to exceed 60 days |
| for the | Satisfactory financial arrangeme transcription. | nts have been made between counsel and the court reporter |
| DATI | ED: | |
| SIGN | ATURE OF ATTORNEY | |
| ADD | RESS AND TELEPHONE NUMI | BER |

SIGNATURE OF COURT REPORTER ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER

cc: Trial Court <u>Administrator</u> of Record

All Counsel of Record

(Rule 110.02, subdivision 2, requires a certificate as to transcript if any part of the proceedings are to be transcribed by a court reporter. The original copy of the certificate shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts, with a copy to the trial court <u>administrator</u> and all counsel of record and shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts within 10 days from the date the transcript was ordered.)

FORM 110B. CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND DELIVERY

(to be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts promptly after filing and delivery of the transcript)

| STATE OF MINNESOTA | DISTRICT COURT |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COUNTY OF | JUDICIAL DISTRICT |
| CASE TITLE: Plaintiff, | CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND DELIVERY |
| vs. Defendant. | Supreme Court Court of Appeals APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER: |
| | TRIAL COURT CASE NUMBER: |
| TO: Clerk of the Appellate Courts Minnesota Judicial Center St. Paul, MN 55155 | |
| with the trial court administrator on (dat | ld on (specify dates) in the above-entitled action was filed e). The transcript was delivered to counsel of record on The transcript was delivered to the following recipients: |
| DATED: | |
| SIGNATURE OF COURT REPORTER ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER | |
| cc: Trial Court Administrator of Reco | ora |

(Rule 110.02, subd. 2(b), requires the filing of a certificate to document the filing and delivery of the transcript. The certificate must specify the date the transcript was filed with the trial court administrator and the date and method of delivery of the transcript to counsel of record. The original

All Counsel of Record

copy of the certificate shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts, with a copy to the trial court administrator and all counsel of record and shall be filed with the clerk of the appellate courts immediately after filing and delivery of the transcript.)

FORM 115A. PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN COURT OF APPEALS

CASE TITLE:

PETITION FOR WRIT

OF CERTIORARI

Employee-Relator

VS.

Petitioner,

COURT OF APPEALS

NUMBER:

EmployerRespondent,

DEPARTMENT OF
JOBS AND TRAINING

(AGENCY OR BODY)

NUMBER:

Commissioner of DATE OF MAILING NOTICE

Jobs and Training OF DECISION:

(Agency or Body),

Respondent. <u>DATE AND DESCRIPTION OF</u>

EVENT TRIGGERING APPEAL TIME (for example, mailing of decision, receipt of decision, or

receipt of other notice):

TO: The Court of Appeals of the State of Minnesota:

The above-named <u>relator petitioner</u> hereby petitions the Court of Appeals for a Writ of Certiorari to review a decision of the <u>Commissioner of Jobs and Training (agency or body) filed and mailed issued</u> on the date noted above, upon the grounds that it is not in conformity with the <u>provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 268, and is unwarranted by the evidence (specify grounds and statute authorizing certiorari review).</u>

DATED:

NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND OF RELATOR: (ATTORNEY REGISTRATION LICENSE NUMBER IF REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL) OF ATTORNEY(S) FOR PETITIONER:

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY

OR, IF NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL:

NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PETITIONER:

SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER

(The procedure for obtaining a writ of certiorari from the Court of Appeals is set forth in the applicable statutes and in Rule 115, Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure. The rule applicable statutes prescribes the subject matter of writs in the Court of Appeals, the manner of securing a writ, time limitations, contents of the petition, bond or security, filing and fees, and requirements for service. The rule prescribes the manner of securing a writ, contents of the petition, bonds, filing and fees, and preparation of the record. Two copies An original and one copy of a completed statement of the case must accompany the petition.

The date of the event that triggered the appeal period must be indicated on the petition. The nature of this event varies, depending on the requirements of the statute authorizing certiorari review in the Court of Appeals. *See* MINN. R. CIV. APP. P. 115 comment.)

FORM 115B. WRIT OF CERTIORARI

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN COURT OF APPEALS

| CASE TITLE: | WIDIT OF CEDITION ADI | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Relator, | WRIT OF CERTIORARI | | | |
| VS. | COURT OF APPEALS NUMBER: | | | |
| Respondent, | NOMBER. | | | |
| respondent, | DEPARTMENT OF | | | |
| | JOBS AND TRAINING | | | |
| Commissioner of | (AGENCY OR BODY) | | | |
| Jobs and Training | NUMBER: | | | |
| (Agency or Body), | | | | |
| Respondent. | DATE OF MAILING NOTICE | | | |
| | OF DECISION | | | |
| TO: Minnesota Department of Jobs and Training: (Agency or Body) You are hereby ordered to return to the Court of Appeals within 30 days from this date 10 days after the date relator's brief is due the record, exhibits and proceedings in the above-entitled matter so that this court may review the decision of the Commissioner of Jobs and Training filed and mailed (agency or body) issued on the date noted above. Copies of this writ and accompanying petition shall be served forthwith either personally or by mail upon the Commissioner of Jobs and Training respondent (agency or body) and upon the | | | | |
| Employer respondent or its attorney a | .t: | | | |
| (address) | | | | |
| Proof of service shall be filed | with the clerk of the appellate courts. | | | |
| DATED: | | | | |
| Clerk of Appellate Courts | | | | |
| (Clerk's File Stamp) By: | | | | |
| Assistant Clerk | | | | |

FORM 120A. PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

(Form is renumbered, without other change.)

FORM 120B. ORDER FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN COURT OF APPEALS

| CASE TITLE: | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Petitioner, | | |
| Vs. | APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER: | |
| Respondent. | 1,021 <u>12</u> 221 | |
| | <u>ORDER</u> | |
| Upon the petitic | on of (name) for a writ of prohibition, IT IS HEREBY ORI |)ERED: |
| | writ be, and the same is, issued and that theCo ,Judicial District, upon receipt of the wred in the petition. | |
| | tioner shall forthwith serve copies of this order on, Judge of said Court. | , attorney |
| DATED: | | |
| BY THE COURT: | | |
| Judge or Justice | | |

FORM 120C. WRIT OF PROHIBITION

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN COURT OF APPEALS

| CASE TITLE: | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Petitioner, | | |
| VS. | APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER: | |
| Respondent. | T.C.IIBEIN | |
| | WRIT OF PROHIBITION | |
| | nesota to the Honorable, Judge of nty of, Judicial District: | Court |
| - | consideration of the petition of (<u>name</u>) and the answer of the relief requested in second that petitioner is entitled to the relief requested in second that petitioner is entitled to the relief requested in second that petitioner is entitled to the relief requested in second that petitioner is entitled to the relief requested in second that petition of the petiti | - |
| copy of this writ vacate as relief requested in his pet | PRE, We do command and direct that you immediately uponed set aside your order of (date), and that you grant to said pition of (date). Copies of this writ shall be served forthwit roof of service filed herein. | petitioner the |
| | rable, Chief Judge of the Court of Appeal of this Court, this day of, | ls of the State |
| Clerk of Appellate Courts | | |

FORM 114. PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN COURT OF APPEALS

CASE TITLE:

Petitioner, PETITION FOR DECLARATORY

JUDGMENT

<u>vs.</u>

COURT OF APPEALS NUMBER:

Agency or Body,

Respondent. AGENCY OR BODY NUMBER:

TO: The Court of Appeals of the State of Minnesota

The above-named petitioner hereby petitions the Court of Appeals pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 14.44 for a declaratory judgment determining the validity of a rule adopted by (agency) on (date), upon the grounds that the rule (is unconstitutional/exceeds the statutory authority of the agency/was adopted without compliance with statutory rule-making procedures).

DATED:

NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PETITIONER (ATTORNEY REGISTRATION NUMBER IF REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL)

SIGNATURE

(The procedure for obtaining a declaratory judgment on the validity of an administrative rule from the Court of Appeals is set forth in Rule 114, Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure. The rule prescribes the manner of obtaining review, contents of the petition, filing fees, and service requirements for obtaining review. An original and one copy of a completed statement of the case must accompany the petition.)

| STATE OF MINNI | ESOTA | □ Supreme Court □ Court of Appeals | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Case Title | Appellate Court Case Number: | NOTICE, STATEMENT AND CLAIM OF COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS INCURRED BY PREVAILING PARTY | |
| | | Prevailing Party: | |
| | | ☐ Appellant ☐ Respondent ☐ Relator | |
| COSTS AND DISBURSEM | ENTS | | |
| Statutory Costs Clerk of The Appellate Courts Fee Transcript of case used for a | s Filing | Printing Appellant's brief and Appendix | |
| Appellate Courts only | \$ | Premium on appeal bond\$ | |
| Printing of Respondent's brie | f \$ | Other | |
| The above bill of Costs | and Disbursements taxe | ed and allowed | |
| Frederick K. C | Grittner By _ | Assistant Clerk | |
| | ttorney for the prevailing pa orrect statement of costs ir | arty in the above-entitled action, state that ncurred and disbursements made by the | |
| NOTARY STAMP, SIGN. | ATURE AND DATE: | Respectfully, | |
| | | Attorney's Name | |
| Signature | | Address | |
| | | Signature | |
| NOTICE TO ATTORNEY PARTY(S): ADVERSE PARTY(S) BE | | Costs and disbursements will be taxed pursuant to Rule 139.03 (Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure), objections thereto may be filed pursuant to Rule 139.04. | |
| | | | |
| ATTORNEY For_ | | ATTORNEY For | |
| (Name of Party) | | (Name of Party) | |
| ATTORNEY | _ | ATTORNEY For | |
| For(Name of Party) | | (Name of Party) | |